arXiv:1211.0127v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 1 Nov 2012

Renormalization of spin-rotation coupling

Mamoru Matsuo, Jun'ichi Ieda, and Sadamichi Maekawa
Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai 319-1195, Japan
CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Sanbancho, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan
(Dated: November 2, 2012)

We study renormalization of inertial effects on an electron spin by taking account of the interband mixing on the basis of the $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ perturbation theory. The crystal momentum is generalized to include a gauge potential arising from the mechanical rotation. The spin-rotation coupling is found to be renormalized in a different way from the Zeeman interaction. Using the renormalized spin-rotation coupling, we predict the enhancement of the frequency shift in electron spin resonance and the mechanical torque on an electron spin.

PACS numbers: 72.25.-b, 85.75.-d, 71.70.Ej, 62.25.-g

Introduction.— A variety of couplings of an electron spin with other degrees are enhanced in solids. The Zeeman interaction, the coupling of an electron spin and a magnetic field, is strongly modified by the interband mixing. The modulation of the electron g factor has been widely studied in semiconductors[1]. Spin manipulation by g factor engineering[2, 3] has attracted interest in the context of quantum information processing. Spin-orbit interaction (SOI), the coupling of electron spin and orbital motion, is enhanced depending on the band structure. Enhancement of SOI is responsible for the spin Hall effect which plays an important role in the conversion between charge and spin currents[4] in the field of spintronics[5, 6].

An electron spin also couples to mechanical rotation. In the presence of mechanical rotation with frequency $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, the spin angular momentum $\frac{\hbar}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ couples to the rotation as $H_S = -\frac{\hbar}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, which is known as the spin-rotation coupling[7–9]. The quantum mechanical nature of the Barnett and Einstein-de Haas effects [10, 11] can be explained on the basis of the coupling[12]. The bare coupling of a spin and rotation is of great importance in neutron interferometry[13] as well as in tests of general relativity using spin precession in gravitational fields of rotating bodies[14–18].

Rapid progress in nanotechnology has allowed us to study the coupling of mechanical motion and nanomagnetic systems. Einstein-de Haas effect in a NiFe film on a microcantilever[19] and mechanical torque due to spin flip on a torsion oscillator[20] were observed in experiments. Theoretically, rotational doppler shifts in magnetic resonance[21] and effects of mechanical torque in nanostructures[22–29] are studied. These phenomena essentially rely on the spin-rotation coupling, H_S. However, the renormalization of the coupling arising from the electronic structures has not been considered so far.

In this Letter, we theoretically investigate the renormalization of inertial effects on spin in a solid using the $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ perturbation with the generalized crystal momentum due to mechanical rotation. It is shown that the mechanically induced spin-orbit interaction, Darwin term and spin-rotation coupling are enhanced by the interband mixing of the conduction band and valence band states. The renormalized spin-rotation coupling is responsible for the enhancement of both the frequency shift in electron spin resonance (ESR) and the mechanical torque in spin precession.

Pauli-Schrödinger equation.— We start with the Hamiltonian for an electron in a rigidly accelerated frame in the presence of external electromagnetic fields[30]:

$$H' = \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}^2}{2m} + qA_0 + m\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\mathbf{r}\times\boldsymbol{\pi} + \mu_B\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B} - \frac{\hbar}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega} + \frac{q\lambda}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\pi}\times\mathbf{E}') + \frac{q\lambda}{2}\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{E}', \qquad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}$, and $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \gamma_0^{-1}\mathbf{a} + (\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\mathbf{r})\times\mathbf{B}$. Here, the prime symbol indicates the values including inertial effects. The bare mass of an electron is m and charge $q = -e$, $\mu_B = e\hbar/2m$ is the Bohr magneton and $\gamma_0 = -e/m$ is the electron charge-to-mass quotient, $\hbar$ is Planck's constant divided by 2π, $(A_0, \mathbf{A})$ is the U(1) gauge potential, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, $\mathbf{a}$ is the linear acceleration, and $\lambda = \hbar^2/4m^2c^2$ is the bare SOI with the speed of light c.

The third and fourth terms in Eq. (1) are the inertial potentials due to linear acceleration and rotation respectively. In particular, the latter reproduces Coriolis, centrifugal and Euler forces. The fifth term is the Zeeman term and the sixth term represents the spin-rotation coupling. The last two terms in Eq. (1) are the SOI and the Darwin term augmented by the inertial effects. The SOI is responsible for spin current generation by mechanical means[30].

The Hamiltonian (1) can be rewritten as:

$$H' = \frac{\{\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}' - (qm\lambda/\hbar)\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\mathbf{E}'\}^2}{2m} + qA'_0 + \mu_B\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B}', \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} - \gamma_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\mathbf{r}$, $A'_0 = A_0 + \gamma_0^{-1}\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r} + \frac{m\lambda}{2}\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{E}' - \frac{m}{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\mathbf{r})^2 - \frac{mq\lambda^2}{\hbar^2}\mathbf{E}'^2$, $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B} + \gamma_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. The first term indicates that the conventional momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}$, which is given in an inertial frame in the presence of an external magnetic field, is replaced by $\boldsymbol{\pi}' = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}'$ in the accelerated frame. Accordingly, the commutation relation of $\boldsymbol{\pi}'$ reads

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}' \times \boldsymbol{\pi}' = iq\hbar\nabla \times \mathbf{A}' = iq\hbar(\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_g), \tag{3}$$

where the gravitomagnetic field[31] is given by $\mathbf{B}_g = 2\gamma_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. It should be noted that the rotational effect on the $U(1)$ gauge potential can be interpreted as an effective magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_g$:

$$\mathbf{A}' = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_g) \times \mathbf{r}, \tag{4}$$

where we use the symmetric gauge, whereas the effect on the $SU(2)$ scalar potential as

$$\mu_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}' = \mu_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_g/2). \tag{5}$$

Renormalization of inertial effects.— Generally, most of physical parameters in the Hamiltonian derived in vacuum are renormalized in a solid. In the inertial frame, it is well known that the $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ perturbation theory is an approximation scheme for such renormalization effects in a crystalline solid by using the Bloch wave eigenstates. From Bloch's theorem, the eigenfunctions in a periodic potential without magnetic field can be expressed as $\psi_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, where $u_{n,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ has the periodicity of the crystal and n is the band index. The crystal momentum is $\hbar\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p}$ because of the translation symmetry of the Hamiltonian. In the presence of a magnetic field, the Hamiltonian is invariant under the magnetic translation group[32], and hence, the momentum is given by $\hbar\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}$. Likewise, when a mechanical rotation $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is present, the crystal momentum should be defined as $\hbar\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}'$.

From now on we consider how to renormalize the inertial effects on the basis of the $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ perturbation and the eight-band Kane model[33].

$k \cdot p$ perturbation in a rotating body.— We start with the 8×8 Kane model which includes the $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ coupling between the Γ_6^c conduction band and the Γ_8^v and Γ_7^v valence bands:

$$\begin{aligned} H'_{8\times8} &= \begin{pmatrix} H'_{6c6c} & H'_{6c8v} & H'_{6c7v} \\ H'_{8v6c} & H'_{8v8v} & H'_{8v7v} \\ H'_{7v6c} & H'_{7v8v} & H'_{7v7v} \end{pmatrix}, \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (E_c + V')I_2 & \sqrt{3}P\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{k}' & -\frac{P}{\sqrt{3}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}' \\ \sqrt{3}P\mathbf{T}^\dagger\cdot\mathbf{k}' & (E_v + V')I_4 & 0 \\ -\frac{P}{\sqrt{3}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}' & 0 & (E_v - \Delta_0 + V')I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $V' = qA_0'$, E_c and E_v are the conduction and valence band edges, respectively, Δ_0 is the spin-orbit gap, P is the Kane momentum matrix element that describes the coupling of the s-like conduction band and the p-like valence band states. Note that the conventional crystal momentum used in the $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ perturbation $\hbar\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}$[1] is replaced by $\hbar\mathbf{k}'$, which includes the inertial effect due to the gravitomagnetic field $\mathbf{B}_g$. The matrices $\mathbf{T} = (T_x, T_y, T_z)$ are given by $T_x = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$, $T_y = \frac{-i}{3\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$, $T_z = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and I_2 and I_4 are the unit matrices of sizes 2 and 4, respectively.

The matrix $H'_{8\times8}$ is then reduced to an effective Hamiltonian that depends only on the conduction band electron states using a method similar to that used for the transformation of the Dirac equation of a four-spinor wave function into the Pauli-Schrödinger equation[1]. The Schrödinger equation

$$E\Psi = H'_{8\times8}\Psi, \tag{7}$$

with $\Psi = (\psi_{6c}, \psi_{8v}, \psi_{7v})^T$, reads

$$(\tilde{E} - V')\psi_{6c} = \sqrt{3}P\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{k}'\psi_{8v} - \frac{P\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}'}{\sqrt{3}}\psi_{7v} \tag{8}$$

$$\psi_{8v} = \frac{1}{E_G}\Big(1 + \frac{\tilde{E} - V'}{E_G}\Big)^{-1}\sqrt{3}P\mathbf{T}^\dagger\cdot\mathbf{k}'\psi_{6c} \tag{9}$$

$$\psi_{7v} = \frac{-1}{E_G + \Delta_0}\Big(1 + \frac{\tilde{E} - V'}{E_G + \Delta_0}\Big)^{-1}\frac{P\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}'}{\sqrt{3}}\psi_{6c}. \tag{10}$$

Inserting Eqs. (9) and (10) into (8),

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{k}'\frac{3P^2}{E_G}\Big(1 + \frac{\tilde{E} - V'}{E_G}\Big)^{-1}\mathbf{T}^\dagger\cdot\mathbf{k}' \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}'\frac{P^2/3}{E_G + \Delta_0}\Big(1 + \frac{\tilde{E} - V'}{E_G + \Delta_0}\Big)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}'\Big]\psi_{6c} \\ &= (\tilde{E} - V')\psi_{6c} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\tilde{E} = E - E_c$ and $E_G = E_c - E_v$. To ensure norm conservation, a renormalized two component wave function $\tilde{\psi}_{6c}$ is sought that satisfies

$$\int d^3x\, |\Psi|^2 = \int d^3x\, |\tilde{\psi}_{6c}|^2. \tag{12}$$

From Eqs. (9) and (10), the l.h.s. of Eq. (12) is

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3x\, |\Psi|^2 \approx &\int d^3x\, \Big|1 + \frac{3P^2(\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{k}')(\mathbf{T}^\dagger\cdot\mathbf{k}')}{E_G^2} \\ &+ \frac{P^2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}')(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}')}{3(E_G + \Delta_0)^2}\Big||\psi_{6c}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Hence, we find

$$\tilde{\psi}_{6c} = \Big[1 + N\Big]\psi_{6c} \tag{14}$$

with

$$N = \frac{3P^2(\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{k}')(\mathbf{T}^\dagger\cdot\mathbf{k}')}{2E_G^2} + \frac{P^2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}')(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}')}{6(E_G + \Delta_0)^2}. \tag{15}$$

Inserting $\psi_{6c} \approx \Big[1 - N\Big]\tilde{\psi}_{6c}$ into Eq. (11), we obtain an equation of the conduction band:

$$\tilde{H}'\tilde{\psi}_{6c} = \tilde{E}\tilde{\psi}_{6c}, \tag{16}$$

where the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}'$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}' = {} & \frac{P^2}{3}\Big(\frac{2}{E_G} + \frac{1}{E_G+\Delta_0}\Big)k'^2 + V' \\ & -\frac{P^2}{3}\Big(\frac{1}{E_G} - \frac{1}{E_G+\Delta_0}\Big)\frac{e}{\hbar}i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{k}'\times\mathbf{k}') \\ & +\frac{eP^2}{3}\Big(\frac{1}{E_G^2} - \frac{1}{(E_G+\Delta_0)^2}\Big)\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{k}'\times\mathbf{E}') \\ & -\frac{eP^2}{6}\Big(\frac{2}{E_G^2} + \frac{1}{(E_G+\Delta_0)^2}\Big)\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{E}'.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here, we use

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}')(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{k}') = \mathbf{k}'^2 + i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{k}'\times\mathbf{k}'), \tag{18}$$

$$9(\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{k}')(\mathbf{T}^\dagger\cdot\mathbf{k}') = 2\mathbf{k}'^2 - i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{k}'\times\mathbf{k}'). \tag{19}$$

Thus, we obtain the total Hamiltonian for the conduction band including inertial effects ,

$$\begin{aligned}H'^* = {} & \frac{\hbar^2 k'^2}{2m^*} + V' + \left(1+\frac{\delta g}{2}\right)\mu_B\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B} - (1+\delta g)\frac{\hbar}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega} \\ & + q(\lambda+\delta\lambda_{\mathrm{S}})\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{k}'\times\mathbf{E}') + \frac{q}{2}(\lambda+\delta\lambda_{\mathrm{D}})\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{E}'.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here, the effective mass m^* is given by $\frac{1}{m^*} = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{2P^2}{3\hbar^2}\left(\frac{2}{E_G} + \frac{1}{E_G+\Delta_0}\right)$, and δg, $\delta\lambda_{\mathrm{S}}$, and $\delta\lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$ are given by

$$\delta g = -\frac{4m}{\hbar^2}\frac{P^2}{3}\Big(\frac{1}{E_G} - \frac{1}{E_G+\Delta_0}\Big), \tag{21}$$

$$\delta\lambda_{\mathrm{S}} = -\frac{P^2}{3}\Big(\frac{1}{E_G^2} - \frac{1}{(E_G+\Delta_0)^2}\Big), \tag{22}$$

$$\delta\lambda_D = \frac{P^2}{3}\Big(\frac{2}{E_G^2} + \frac{1}{(E_G+\Delta_0)^2}\Big). \tag{23}$$

The Zeeman term, SOI and Darwin terms in the accelerated frame are renormalized in the same way as those in an inertial frame[1, 33, 34]. On the other hand, the renormalization of the spin-rotation coupling is deferent from that of the Zeeman term as shown in Eq. (20). The difference comes from the fact that the $SU(2)$ scalar potential in $\tilde{H}'$, as shown in the second line of Eq. (17), is not proportional to that in Eq. (2); $i\frac{e}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{k}'\times\mathbf{k}') = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{B}_g) \neq \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{B}_g/2)$.

Enhancement of mechanically induced anomalous velocity.— The fifth term in Eq. (20) implies that the conduction electron has the renormalized spin-dependent velocity given by

$$\mathbf{v}'^* = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{r}, H'^*] = \frac{\hbar\mathbf{k}'}{m^*} + \frac{q(\lambda+\delta\lambda_{\mathrm{S}})}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\mathbf{E}'. \tag{24}$$

Namely, the mechanically induced anomalous velocity in vacuum, $(q\lambda/\hbar)\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\{\gamma_0^{-1}\mathbf{a} + (\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\mathbf{r})\times\mathbf{B}\}$, is enhanced by $(1+\delta\lambda_{\mathrm{S}}/\lambda)$ in the crystalline solids where the spin-orbit gap $\Delta_0 \neq 0$.

Frequency shift due to rotation in ESR.— The third and forth terms in Eq. (20) can be rewritten as:

$$\left(1+\frac{\delta g}{2}\right)\mu_B\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{B}+\Delta\mathbf{B}) \tag{25}$$

where an effective magnetic field $\Delta\mathbf{B}$ is defined by

$$\Delta\mathbf{B} = -\frac{2+2\delta g}{2+\delta g}\frac{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}{\gamma_0}. \tag{26}$$

In Ref. [21], the frequency shift of ESR due to rotation was pointed out as $\omega'_{\mathrm{ESR}} = \omega_{\mathrm{ESR}} - \Omega$ for an isotropic crystal. By taking account of the interband mixing, the shift reads

$$\omega'_{\mathrm{ESR}} = \omega_{\mathrm{ESR}} - (1+\delta g)\Omega. \tag{27}$$

Spin precession in a rotating body.— Let us consider effects of mechanical rotation on magnetization. The SOI is neglected for simplicity. From the commutation relationship, the equation for spin precession in a rotating frame can be derived

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = -\frac{g+\delta g}{2}\mu_B\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\mathbf{B} + \frac{g+2\delta g}{2}\frac{\hbar}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\boldsymbol{\Omega}. \tag{28}$$

Because of the renormalized spin-rotation coupling, the torque term due to the mechanical rotation has the factor $(g+2\delta g)/2$.

Discussions.— In the derivation above, we first include effects of rotation into the Hamiltonian in vacuum, and then apply the $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ perturbation with the crystal momentum $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}'$. Instead, if one started with the $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$-perturbed Hamiltonian, H^*, and performed the unitary transformation $U = \exp[i\mathbf{J}t/\hbar]$, with $\mathbf{J} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{p} + \frac{\hbar}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ being the generator of the rotation, one would obtain the Hamiltonian: $H_R = UH^*U^\dagger - i\hbar U\frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial t} = H^* - \mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega} - \frac{\hbar}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, where the spin-rotation coupling would not be renormalized, namely, the factor $(g+2\delta g)/2$ missing. This is because rotational effects to the off-diagonal matrix elements between the conduction and valence bands are not taken into account properly.

The electron g factor in semiconductors has been widely investigated for low-dimensional semiconductor nanostructures such as GaAs/Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$ and Ga$_{1-x}$In$_x$As/InP heteropairs[35], and semiconductor quantum dots[36]. In Ref. 37, a very large g factor with an absolute value larger than 900 is predicted for InSb$_{1-s}$N$_s$ bulk material using the ten-band $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ model. For lightly doped n-InSb at low temperature, a $g^* \approx -49$ has been employed in a recent experiment[38]. In this case, enhancement of the spin-rotation coupling by two orders of magnitude might be possible and will be observed in experiment with a ultra high-speed rotor[39].

Conclusion.— We have investigated the renormalization of inertial effects on spin using the $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}$ perturbation theory. The generalized crystal momentum which includes the gauge potential due to mechanical rotation has been introduced to the perturbation. The Hamiltonian for conduction electrons in an accelerated body was derived from the 8×8 Kane Hamiltonian. The Zeeman, SOI and Darwin terms in the accelerated frame are renormalized in the same way as those in an internal frame, while the renormalization of the spin-rotation interaction is different from that of the Zeeman term. The modulation of the mechanically induced anomalous velocity is obtained from the SOI. The renormalized spin-rotation coupling provides the enhancement of the frequency shift in ESR and the mechanical torque in spin precession due to the interband mixing.

The authors thank K. Harii, M. Ono, H. Chudo and E. Saitoh for discussions on experimental aspects, and J. Suzuki for valuable discussions. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from MEXT, Japan.

[1] R. Winkler, *Spin-Orbit Coupling Effects in Two-Dimensional Electron and Hole Systems* (Springer, 2006).

[2] G. Salis, Y. Kato, K. Ensslin, D. C. Driscoll, A. C. Gossard, J. Levy, and D. D. Awschalom, Nature (London) **414**, 619 (2001).

[3] Y. Kato, R. C. Myers, D. C. Driscoll, A. C. Gossard, J. Levy, and D. D. Awschalom, Science **299**, 1201 (2003).

[4] S. Maekawa, S. Valenzuela, E. Saitoh, and T. Kimura, ed., *Spin Current* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).

[5] I. Zutic, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 323 (2004).

[6] S. Maekawa, ed., *Concepts in Spin Electronics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006).

[7] C. G. de Oliveira and J. Tiomno, Nuovo Cimento **24**, 672 (1962).

[8] B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2639 (1988); see also J. Anandan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3809 (1992); B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3812 (1992).

[9] F. W. Hehl and W.-T. Ni, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 2045 (1990).

[10] S. J. Barnett, Phys. Rev. **6**, 239 (1915).

[11] A. Einstein and W. J. de Haas, Verh. Dtsch. Phys. Ges. **17**, 152 (1915).

[12] J. Fröhlich and U. M. Studer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **65**, 733 (1993).

[13] H. Rauch and S. A. Werner, *Neutron Interferometry: Lessons in Experimental Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000).

[14] H. Thirring, Phys. Z. **19**, 33 (1918); J. Lense and H. Thirring, *ibid.* **19**, 156 (1927).

[15] W. de Sitter, Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. **77**, 155 (1916).

[16] B. Bertotti, I. Ciufolini, and P. L. Bender, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1062 (1987).

[17] L. Schiff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 215 (1960).

[18] C. W. F. Everitt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 221101 (2011).

[19] T. M. Wallis, J. Moreland, and P. Kabos, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 122502 (2006).

[20] G. Zolfagharkhani, A. Gaidarzhy, P. Degiovanni, S. Kettemann, P. Flude, and R. Mohanty, Nat. Nanotechnol. **3**, 720 (2008).

[21] S. Lendínez, E. M. Chudnovsky, and J. Tejada, Phys. Rev. **B82**, 174418 (2010).

[22] P. Mohanty, G. Zolfagharkhani, S. Kettemann, and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. **B 70**, 195301 (2004).

[23] A. A. Kovalev, G. E. W. Bauer, and A. Brataas, Appl. Phys. Lett. **83**, 1584 (2003); Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 167201 (2005); Phys. Rev. **B 75**, 014430 (2007).

[24] S. Bretzel, G. E. W. Bauer, Y. Tserkovnyak, and A. Brataas, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 122504 (2009).

[25] R. Jaafar, E. M. Chudnovsky, and D. A. Garanin, Phys. Rev. **B79**, 104410 (2009).

[26] E. M. Chudnovsky and D. A. Garanin, Phys. Rev. **B81**, 214423 (2010).

[27] G. E. W. Bauer, S. Bretzel, A. Brataas, and Y. Tserkovnyak, Phys. Rev. **B 81**, 024427 (2010).

[28] R. Jaafar and E. M. Chudnovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 227202 (2009).

[29] A. A. Kovalev, L. X. Hayden, G. E. W. Bauer, and Y. Tserkovnyak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 147203 (2011).

[30] M. Matsuo, J. Ieda, E. Saitoh, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 076601 (2011); Appl. Phys. Lett. **98**, 242501 (2011); Phys. Rev. **B 84**, 104410 (2011).

[31] L. Ryder, *Introduction to General Relativity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

[32] J. Zak, Phys. Rev. **134**, A1602, (1964).

[33] E. O. Kane, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **1**, 249 (1957).

[34] L. M. Roth, B. Lax, S. Zwerdling, Phys. Rev. **113**, 90 (1959).

[35] A. A. Kiselev, E. L. Ivchenko, and U. Rossler, Phys. Rev. **B 58**, 16353 (1998).

[36] C. E. Pryor and M. E. Flatté, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 026804 (2006).

[37] X. W. Zhang, W. J. Fan, S. S. Li, and J. B. Xia, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 193111 (2009).

[38] C. M. Jaworski, R. C. Myers, E. Johnston-Halperin, and J. P. Heremans, Nature (London) **484**, 210 (2012).

[39] M. Ono, M. Sueroshi, S. Okayasu, T. Hao, R. Esaka, T. Osawa, Y. Iguchi, and T. Mashimo, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **80**, 083908 (2009).